

Decreasing Aggression in an Adult Male with ASD in a School Setting Jenna Houzer, M.Ed candidate; Tiffany Dankowych, M.ADS, BCBA

Introduction

- Aggressive behaviours may result in restrictive school or residential placement, impaired or restricted social relationships and high caregiver burnout
- Function based treatments have been shown to improve efficiency and effectiveness of interventions
- Progressive Differential Reinforcement of Other Behaviour (DRO-P), time-out, and positive punishment have been utilized to reduce challenging behaviours

Purpose and Hypothesis

- To determine if a behaviour treatment package including DRO-P, exclusionary time out, and positive punishment would decrease the rate of aggression
- A functional behaviour assessment indicated that the behaviour was maintained by attention and escape
- It was hypothesized that the treatment package would reduce aggressive behaviours in the school environment

Method

Subject:

- 19-year-old male with ASD and CHARGE syndrome
- Lives at home with his parents and attends a special education school

Design:

- Single subject AB design
- Physical aggression targeted for reduction <u>Baseline:</u>
 - Collected from final days of implementation of the previous Behaviour Plan (DRO-5 min + extinction)

Methods (Co

Treatment:

- Daily preference assessment
- Progressive Different Reinforcement (DRO-P) was us
- Exclusionary time out was used when the learner en ensure the safety of those in the immediate environ
- Positive punishment was paired with exclusionary ti

Generalization:

Implemented by all staff and across all environments

Results

The results indicated that:

- During baseline 14 instances of aggression were red
- During treatment 23 instances of aggression were r



ont.)	
sed to reinforce the absence of aggression ngaged in aggression to limit attention and to nment ime-out to prevent escape from demands ts within the school setting	 DRO in aggres This transition Lower increation Lower classres Limitation Dat
corder over 7 session (avg. rate/hr = 0.36) recorded over 74 sessions (avg. rate/hr = 0.04) er Hour DRO-P 7 + TO + PP	out gro the • Staf imp • A co Future Re • Rep • Cor • Rep con • If th red the
	A treatme exclusion reduced a
45 47 49 51 53 55 57 59 61 63 65 67 69 71 73 75 77 79 81 on	The authorithe their pare the treated staff at PA work.



Discussion & Limitations

n isolation may be insufficient to decrease ssion maintained by attention and escape reatment package resulted in a decreased of aggression rates of aggression may result in ased opportunity to interact with peers ncreased participation within the oom, particularly during group activities <u>ns:</u> a does not reflect rates of aggression side of the school setting (e.g., at the cery store, on walks, on field trips, or in home setting) ff turnover was low during plementation omponent analysis was not conducted esearch: plication under similar conditions nduct a component analysis olication in different settings (e.g., nmunity, home) he treatment package will be effective at lucing other challenging behaviour serving same function

Conclusion

ent package consisting of DRO-P, nary time out, and positive punishment aggression to near zero levels at school

Acknowledgements

ors would like to thank our student and ents for their willingness to participate in ment plan. Additionally, thank you to the PALS Autism School for all of their hard